

Regional May 2010

Section 1 has 8 questions. Each question is worth 1 or 2 marks.

Section 1		Answer	Comments	Mark Allocation	Rule Reference										
1/1		690mm-710mm (27-28 inches) 400g-450g (14-16 ounces)		½ for circum, ½ for weight	1.3										
1/2	(i)	Umpire A		½	3.1.4										
	(ii) a	Centre Pass incorrectly received Black		½	12.2.2										
	(ii) b	Free Pass White		½	12.2.2										
	(ii) c	Goal Third near the Transverse Line		½	12.2.2										
1/3	(i)	Off Court		1	3.1.2 (ii)										
	(ii) a	Uniforms, Jewellery	Any two correct	½ each	3.1.2 (ii) (a) (b) (c) (d)										
	(ii) b	Adornments, fingernails													
¼	(i)	TRUE		½	7.1 (iii)										
	(ii)	TRUE		½	7.1 (v)										
1/5	(i)	YES		½	4.3										
	(ii)	NO		½	5.2										
1/6		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Infringement</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Penalty if any</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>Free Pass Black</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Obstruction, Contact, Interfering with the Goalpost during a shot at Goal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Free Pass White</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Simultaneous infringements</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Infringement	Penalty if any		Free Pass Black	Obstruction, Contact, Interfering with the Goalpost during a shot at Goal			Free Pass White	Simultaneous infringements			½ ½ ½ ½	14.3 18.3.1 13.2 (vi) 18.5.1
Infringement	Penalty if any														
	Free Pass Black														
Obstruction, Contact, Interfering with the Goalpost during a shot at Goal															
	Free Pass White														
Simultaneous infringements															
1/7	(i)	The wound must be adequately covered, blood stained clothing removed, ball and Court cleaned if necessary	Any two correct	½ each	7.2 (a) (b) (c)										
	(ii)														
1/8	(i)	Diagnose and treat injury/illness		½ each	3										
	(ii)	Decide whether an injured/ill player is fit to continue		½ each	7.1 (iii)										
		Total		10 marks											

Regional May 2010

Section 2 has 5 questions. Each question is worth 2 marks.

Section 2		Answer	Comments	Mark Allocation	Rule Reference
2/1	(i)	Free Pass White in the Goal Circle		1 mark	Penalty for rule 19.1 (b)
	(ii)	NO		1 mark	Penalty for rule 19.1 (b)
2/2	(i)	Both Umpires		1 mark	3.1.6 (iii)
	(ii) a	Is seated beside the Official Bench, remains alert, ready to take the Court, ensure suspended player is in correct place, remained aware of the court surroundings at all times	Any two correct	½ mark each	Protocol 10.3 (i) (ii) (iii) (iv)
	(ii) b				
2/3	(i)	Carries the ball and gives it to the Centre or places it in the Centre Circle. Moves to the designated Side Line	Need ball delivery and movement to Side Line	½ mark for each	Protocol 3.1
	(ii) a	Next Centre Pass		½ mark	Protocol 9.2
	(ii) b	Scorers		½ mark	Protocol 9.2
2/4	(i)	FALSE		1 mark	20.2.2
	(ii)	TRUE		1 mark	20.2.3
2/5	(i)	Medium Whistle Roll		1 mark	Protocol 11.3
		Long Whistle Roll		1 mark	Protocol 11.4
		Total		10 marks	

Regional May 2010

Section 3 has 13 questions. Each question is worth 2 or 3 marks.

Section 3		Answer	Comments / Key Words needed	Mark Allocation	Rule Reference
3/1		Delaying Black WD Free or Penalty Pass White	(not behind would be permitted for half a mark) full mark requires reference to delaying	1 1	19.1/19.2
3/2		Contact Black GK Penalty Pass White where Black GK was standing		1 1	17.2 (i)
3/3		No Infringement Let Play Continue, count Goal if successful		1 ½ each	1.1.5
3/4		Stepping White WD Free pass Black where White WD dragged her left foot		1 1	14.3 (i)
3/5		Free Pass Black in White's Goal Third near the Transverse Line Because play continues when the opposition catches the ball in the Goal Third, Over a Third is the infringement to be penalised	To gain full marks candidates needed to demonstrate an understanding of the Centre Pass	1 1 1	13.6.2 (i) 12.2.4
3/6	(i)	Penalty Pass Black where White WA held Black WD's skirt		1	17.1
	(ii)	Penalty Pass White where Black C knocked the ball out of White WA's hands		1	17.2 (v)
	(iii)	Toss Up between Black GA and White WD in a common area to both		1	17.3
3/7		No Infringement Let Play Continue		1 1	16.6.1
3/8		No Infringement Let Play Continue Count Goal if successful		1 ½ ½	16.2
3/9		Offside White WD Let Play Continue. Count Goal Advantage Rule applies.	Must have Count Goal for full marks	1 ½ ½	9.1.1 3.1.6 (viii)

3/10		No infringement Let play continue Count Goal	Must have Count Goal for full marks	1 ½ ½	15.1 (ii)
3/11	(i)	Discount Goal. Free Pass Black where ball was not released within 3 seconds		1	13.3
	(ii)	Retake the original Penalty; instruct White GD to remain out of play		1	18.3.2
	(iii)	Penalty Pass / Shot White where Black GD defended with hand over eyes (intimidated)		1	16.5
3/12		Rolling the Ball to another player White GA Free Pass Black where White GA rolled the ball	Pass must be where the ball was rolled not received	1 1	13.4 (i) 13.4 (i)
3/13		Ball failing to enter the Court at a Throw In White GD Throw In Black where the original Throw In was awarded	Incorrect Throw In accepted	1 1	Penalties for infringements occurring at the Throw In (5)
		Total		30 marks	

Moderator's Report

86 candidates sat the May theory exam and of these, 57 were successful in passing it; a pass rate of 66%. It is always a pleasure to be able to acknowledge the top candidates. The highest result in this exam was 48.5/50 gained by Glenda Gray (Hauraki Plains) which is a commendable result. The second highest score of 48/50 was shared by David Body (Paeroa) and Sue Ross (Hastings). Vanessa Edge (Auckland), Tracey Sarginson (Pukekohe), Ashton Thompson-Teepa (Whakatane) all achieved 47.5. The results gained by these six candidates affirm the efforts of the examiners to set a fair paper, realistic to the level of the candidates' skill and experience.

Special congratulations to the 5 successful candidates from Samoa and 1 from Fiji. It is great to see the work that our Pacific neighbours are putting into developing their umpires too!

In the moderator's report in July 2009 we highlighted our concerns that many candidates appeared under-prepared or lacking in the experience necessary to be successful at this level. It was very pleasing to see that the vast majority of candidates who were unsuccessful were not too far from the pass mark.

Again I encourage tutors, candidates and Centres to consider the following questions when reviewing these results and in the future:

1. **Does the candidate have enough practical experience and been exposed to a wide range of scenarios?**
2. **Is the candidate committed to putting in the work required to achieve the necessary level to be successful?**
3. **Is the tutor aware of the learning needs of the candidate(s) they are working with?**
4. **Does the tutor have the in-depth current knowledge to assist the candidates?**
5. **Do the candidates have special circumstances that need addressing relating to learning styles, 1:1 support with language, reading or writing barriers?**

Marks were lost in this examination when candidates omitted the detail necessary to demonstrate they would deal with situations on court (practically) correctly.

A few questions in particular caused candidates difficulty. I refer to them here so that attention can be paid to these by tutors in classes prior to future exams.

- **Section 1 Qn 8** – few candidates were aware that the PCP is responsible for determining whether an injured player is fit to continue.
- **Section 2 Qn 2** – responsibilities of the Reserve Umpire
Both the above questions may have caused problems to umpires who have not had a lot of practical experience
- **Section 3 Qn 1** – this refers specifically to 'delaying' – a frustrating infringement on court!
- **Section 3 Qn 5 caused problems for many.** The two parts to this question required candidates to recognise that the Centre Pass had been received by a member of the opposing team which results in play continuing. Rule 12.2.4 deals specifically with this. Following this, the 'over a third' needed to be dealt with.
- **Section 3 Qn 13 demonstrated a lack of understanding of this situation – a good reason to test it!** When the ball fails to enter the court at a Throw In the opposing team take a Throw In at the original point.

We wish all candidates the best of luck for the remainder of their season. My personal thanks go to Linda Liggins and Ann Bourne for their continued work and giving up their weekends to mark these exams.

Kelly Herbert (Moderator)