



**NETBALL NEW ZEALAND  
UMPIRES THEORY EXAMINATION  
Paper Two September 2008**

**EXAMINERS REPORT**

**(a) Summary of Results**

Eighteen New Zealand, and one Oceania, candidates sat the September examination. Twelve candidates (63%) passed the examination.

The average mark for the examination (median) was 51.25.

Congratulations to Victoria Clark (Eastern) and Joyce Manahi (Southland) who gained the top mark (55.5) and to Tina Dower (North) who gained the second top second top mark (55).

The average for section one was 17 out of 20. The section two average was 8.5 out of 10, and in section three the average was 24 out of 30.

**(b) General Comments**

Some candidates did not appear to have an in depth knowledge of the rules resulting in marks being lost throughout the examination paper. Centres need to take some responsibility to assess if candidates are ready to sit the New Zealand theory exam as it is a significantly higher level to the Regional examination.

Sections one and two were generally answered well.

In Section 3 marks were lost because candidates either did not fully understand the scenario or they did not read the question carefully to fully understand the intended meaning.

The Moderator assisted with marking as well as being responsible for the check marking, including all papers close to a pass.

**Anne Blomfield and Fay Meiklejohn  
Mandy Nottingham**

**New Zealand Theory Examiners  
Moderator**

**September 2008**

## APPENDIX

### Section 1 Comments

Q1	Very well answered
Q2	Very well answered
Q3	Well answered, marks lost due to goal not be counted if successful
Q4	Well answered
Q5	Very well answered
Q6	Very well answered
Q7	Some candidates lost marks through not recognising in part (i) that the GD was delaying play.
Q8	Very well answered
Q9	Candidates need to recognise that there are 2 timekeepers and 2 scorers.
Q10	Well answered

### Section 2 Comments

Q1	Very well answered
Q2	Candidates failed to recognise that 2(b) was separate to 2 (a). Candidates also failed to answer the question relative to the new rules.
Q3	Well answered
Q4	Whistle protocols need revisiting
Q5	Very well answered

### Section 3 Comments

Q1	Well answered-some candidates allowed the goal to be scored
Q2	Some candidates answered the question as an infringement rather than the action
Q3	Candidates need to take care to state the two infringements and that the first infringement is to be penalised.
Q4	Poorly answered, Previously examined
Q5	Poorly answered, Previously examined
Q6	Very well answered
Q7	Very well answered
Q8	Very well answered
Q9	This question was poorly answered-candidates failed to recognise a player may not stand out of Court for no valid reason-including at the start or restart of play.
Q10	Well answered- in (iii) some candidates awarded a free pass